

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box J 456
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

		•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/974,750	10/10/2001	Robin Bek	9222.16632-CIP CON	8777		
26308	7590 01/27/2004		EXAMINER			
	RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.			PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F		
POST OFFICE BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3739	14		
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
		09/974,750	N. S.	BEK ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	•		1	3739					
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	Michael Peff			s				
Period fo									
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty a period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory statutory period will apply and will ex ly will, by statute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) day: pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) f	led on <u>07 November 2003</u>	<u>3</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is non-	final.						
3)□	<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
	ion Papers	•							
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any obgenerated the specific production of the specif	e: a) accepted or b) cection to the drawing(s) be hing the correction is required	neld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.					
	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.				
12) \( \begin{aligned} & * 5 \\ 13) \( \begin{aligned} & * 5 \\ 13) \( \begin{aligned} & * 3 \\ & * 3 \\ & * 3 \\ & * 14) \( \begin{aligned} & A \\ & * 14 \end{aligned} \]	Acknowledgment is made of a clai All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. ) The translation of the foreign is acknowledgment is made of a claim	y documents have been ry documents have been resofthe priority documents ional Bureau (PCT Rule 1 ion for a list of the certified for domestic priority under ed in the first sentence of anguage provisional application of the domestic priority under the for domestic priority under the first sentence of the f	eceived. eceived in Applicati s have been received 7.2(a)). d copies not receive er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e the specification or cation has been received	on No ed in this National Staged. e) (to a provisional apprin an Application Data eived. and/or 121 since a sp	olication) a Sheet. ecific				
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152					

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant's amendments and comments, received November 7, 2003, have been fully considered by the examiner. The following is a complete response to the November 7, 2003 communication.

### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because:

The person who has signed the disclaimer has not stated the extent of his/her interest, or the business entity's interest, in the application/patent. See 37 CFR 1.321(b)(3).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 9 is directed solely to printed subject matter, which is non-statutory subject matter. In particular, claim 9 merely sets forth a set of instructions for the use of the device, which set of instructions constitute printed subject matter which is not functionally associated with the operation of the device.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/974,750

Art Unit: 3739

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,464,689. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the use a display screen and operating system is deemed to be an obvious inclusion of such a system for monitoring information as it is being processed.

Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the pending claims of copending Application No. 10/212,311. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the use a display screen and operating system is deemed to be an obvious inclusion of such a system for monitoring information as it is being processed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the pending claims of

copending Application No. 10/219,798. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the use a display screen and operating system is deemed to be an obvious inclusion of such a system for monitoring information as it is being processed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Response to Arguments

Concerning the 35 USC 101 rejection, the examiner disagrees with applicant's assertion that the amendment has obviated the rejection. The recitation of claim 9 is directed solely to written subject matter and is non-statutory.

With regard to the double patenting issues, the terminal disclaimer filed with the response of November 7, 2003 is not acceptable for the reasons mentioned previously. The provisional double patenting issues will be further addressed upon allowance of one of the applications.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

, Application/Control Number: 09/974,750

Art Unit: 3739

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Peffley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4305. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 6am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739

mp January 22, 2004